

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

122

CRWP-3368-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision: 13.02.2024

Pooja Rani ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present:- Mr. Anil Kumar Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. CRM-W-635-2023

Allowed as prayed for.

Documents are taken on record as Annexure P-4 and
Annexure P-5.

2. CRM-W-190-2024

Allowed as prayed for.

Counter affidavit of the applicant-petitioner is taken on record.

3. CRWP-3368-2023 (O&M)

The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the
Constitution of India seeking issuance of directions to respondent Nos. 1 and
2 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner from the hands of respondent
Nos. 4 to 7, especially from respondent No. 3-SHO of the Police Station
Division No. 7, Ludhiana, who is helping respondent Nos. 4 and 5 and also to
take action against respondent Nos. 4 to 7 in view of the representation made
by the petitioner.

4. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner has restricted his arguments to the extent of issuing direction to official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner as she apprehends threat from respondent Nos. 4 to 7.
5. Notice of motion.
6. Mr. Brijesh, AAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, has accepted the notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case the petitioner moves a representation before respondent No. 2-Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana with regard to protecting her life and liberty, the needful will be done in due course. Let a complete set of the paperbook be supplied to her during the course of the day.
7. In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioner, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to petitioner to file a comprehensive representation regarding protection of her life and liberty from the hands of respondent Nos. 4 to 7 or any other person within a period of 15 days from today and on doing so, respondent No. 2 shall decide the same within a period of one month thereafter, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law. Needless to say, if any threat is found to the petitioner, the official respondents would provide her adequate security in order to protect her life and liberty.

13.02.2024

Waseem Ansari

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(MANISHA BATRA)

JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No