

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

235

CRM-M-16655-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 17.09.2024

RAHUL

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present : Mr. R.S. Longia, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG Haryana.

SANJIV BERRY. J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner, after arguing for some time, submits that the petitioner is in custody since 10.04.2022, and the trial is moving at a snail's pace as out of 23 witnesses cited by the prosecution only 6 witnesses have been examined and the remaining witnesses are official witnesses. Thus, prays that the trial Court may be directed to dispose of the trial in an expeditious manner.

In view of the statement, the petition stands dismissed as withdrawn at this stage. However, keeping in view the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 10.04.2022, the trial Court is directed to ensure expeditious disposal of trial by giving short adjournments.

At the same time, the Superintendent of Police, Jind, is also directed to ensure the presence of the witnesses in Court in pursuance to the summons so issued by the learned Trial Court without fail by deputing a responsible Gazetted Officer for the purpose.

**(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE**

17.09.2024

S.Sharma(syr)

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*

Whether reportable : *Yes/ No*