

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

RSA-151-1998 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-01.04.2024

BALDEV KRISHAN

... Appellant

Versus

CHHOTY LAL AND OTHERS

... Respondents

-.-

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RITU TAGORE

Present:- None for the appellant.
None for the respondents.

RITU TAGORE, J. (Oral)

1. As per office report notice issued to the appellant received back unserved with the report that he is not residing at the given address, whereas notices issued to respondents No.1 and 4 also received back unserved with the report of insufficient address details; respondent No.3 is general public and respondent No.2 served through employee, but no one has appeared on their behalf as well.

2. On the last date of hearing following orders were passed:-

“Present : None for the parties.

This appeal has come up for actual hearing after 2003.

Let notice be given to the parties for 1st April, 2024.

In the meanwhile, photocopy of LCR be requisitioned for the date fixed.”

3. On call, there is no representation on behalf of the appellant. In the light of the last order and office reports, this Court is not having latest address of the appellant and it is not desirable to keep the appeal pending. Consequently, this appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution with liberty to the appellant to revive the same, if has any grievance from this order.
4. Since the main case has been decided, pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, are also disposed of accordingly.

01.04.2024
Gaurav Sorot

(RITU TAGORE)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking? Yes / No

Whether reportable? Yes / No