



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

207

CRM-M-16720-2024

Date of decision: 12.08.2024

Satnam Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Gagandeep Singh Bajwa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Navdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.25 dated 04.02.2024 under Sections 420, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, and Section 13 of Punjab Travel Professional (Regulation) Act, 2014, registered at Police Station Chheharata, District Amritsar.

2. On 05.04.2024, learned counsel for the petitioner had made the following submissions:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the petitioner has clean antecedents and he has been falsely implicated in the present case. While drawing the attention of this Court to the allegations levelled in the FIR, which has been annexed as Annexure P-1, he has further submitted that as per the case of the complainant himself, no sum of money was ever deposited in the bank account of the petitioner, rather it had been deposited in the bank account of the co-accused. He submits that false allegations have been levelled therein that some cash amount was given by the complainant to the petitioner on an assurance given that his son would be sent abroad.”

**CRM-M-16720-2024**

3. Thereafter, vide order dated 07.05.2024, the petitioner had been granted interim anticipatory bail and asked to join investigation.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 07.05.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

5. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Rajinder Kumar, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

6. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 07.05.2024, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of the BNSS/438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

12.08.2024

Vinay

**(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No