



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(230)

CWP No. 9209 of 2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 13.12.2024

Suraj

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Prateek Sodhi, Advocate with
Ms. Jasmine, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Ms. Rupinder K. Thind, Advocate for respondent No. 4.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per the impugned order, the Department has denied the petitioner the benefit of compassionate appointment on the ground that the petitioner is facing criminal proceedings and that too relating to an allegation of ‘Voluntarily Causing Hurt by Dangerous Weapon’.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that as per the impugned order, the Department has kept the claim of the petitioner pending for the grant of compassionate appointment till the proceedings initiated against the petitioner with regard to the allegation of ‘Attempt to Murder’ are finalized.



3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to avail appropriate remedy in case the petitioner is acquitted in the FIR relating to the allegation of ‘Voluntarily Causing Hurt by Dangerous Weapon’ or any right subsists after the decision in the criminal proceedings.

4. Ordered accordingly.

5. Pending miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

December 13, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No