

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

134

CWP-7918-2024
Date of decision: 08.04.2024

SUKHPREET SINGH

Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Mr. Gobind Singh Randhawa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Maninder Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J.(ORAL)

- (1) Since the learned State counsel on instructions states at the bar, that Annexure P-1 has been challenged by the aggrieved therefroms, thus before the Appellate Authority concerned, as such, Annexure P-1 when not acquired binding and conclusive effect. Resultantly, this Court cannot make a mandamus upon the decree holder concerned or upon the learned Executing Court concerned to ensure the efficacious and successful execution of Annexure P-1.
- (2) In the wake of the above, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks and is granted liberty to withdraw writ petition.

(3) However, in case the statutory appeal preferred by the aggrieved from Annexure P-1 acquires binding and conclusive effect, thereupon the said decision shall be ensured to be promptly enforced.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

April 08, 2024
Nisha Yadav

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No