

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.1714 of 2022 (O&M)

Date of Order:25.01.2024

Surinderpal Singh

.Petitioner

Versus

Baba Balak Nath Mandir and another

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

**Present: Mr. Dhananjay Mittal, Advocate
for the petitioner.**

**Mr. Aditya Dassair, Advocate
for Respondent no.1 and 2.**

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J

1. The correctness of the order allowing permission to the plaintiff to amend the plaint in order to give description of his land by disclosing rectangle number and khasra number, is challenged before this Court.

2. The learned counsel representing the petitioner contends that the aforesaid amendment has been allowed without taking note of proviso to Order VI Rule 17 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908.

3. This court has considered the submission of the learned counsel representing the petitioner.

4. The plaintiff has only described the location of the land in order to identify the suit property. Such amendment falls in the category of clarificatory amendment which does not substantively has any impact on the rights of the parties.

5. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts and discussion, no ground to interfere is made out.

6. Dismissed.
7. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

January 25, 2024
nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

:YES/NO
:YES/NO