

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

1. CRM-M No.17029 of 2023 (O&M)

Jasbir Singh & another
... Petitioners
Versus
State of Punjab
... Respondent

2. CRM-M No.28443 of 2023 (O&M)

Bhupinder Pal Singh
... Petitioner
Versus
State of Punjab & another
... Respondents

Date of decision: 6th March, 2024

CORAM: HON’BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Gurcharan Dass, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Amit Rana, Sr. Dy. Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State.
Mr. Akashdeep Miglani, Advocate for the complainant.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners in both the petitions detailed hereinabove are seeking the concession of bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.43 dated 03.03.2023 under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Jamalpur, Ludhiana. Since both these petitions have originated from the same FIR,

they are being taken up together for disposal by way of this common order.

2. On the last date of hearing, i.e. 08.01.2024, while noticing the following submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioners and asked them to join investigation.

“At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that during the pendency of the instant petitions, the parties have arrived at an amicable settlement. He submits that in the circumstances, the petitioners be extended the concession of anticipatory bail.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners has reiterated that the parties have arrived at an amicable settlement, which fact is not disputed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the private respondent/complainant. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that in compliance of the order dated 08.01.2024, the petitioners have joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Jasvir Singh, does not dispute the factum of the petitioners having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions submits that the petitioners are not required for further investigation much less for their custodial interrogation.

5. In the circumstances, the petitions are allowed and the interim order dated 08.01.2024 is made absolute subject to the conditions

laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Needless to say, in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail granted to them, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the bail granted to them.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

March 6, 2024
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No