

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

253

CWP-9660-2021

Date of Decision :02.04.2024

Sunita Rani

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Anoop Singh Sheoran, Advocate and
Mr. Saurav Gumbal, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Harish Rathee, Senior DAG, Haryana.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, grievance of the petitioner is that her services have been terminated on the ground that the same were not satisfactory.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents on the other hand submits that present petition is not maintainable as the petitioner was appointed through respondent No.3 on outsourcing basis and there is no master and servant relationship between the petitioner and respondent No.3. hence, keeping in view the law laid down by this Court in **CWP-12274-2020 titled as Kumar Sourabh and others vs. State of Haryana and others, decided on 30.09.2020** that no writ petition is maintainable against the outsourcing agency and concededly the present petition has been filed by the petitioner against respondent No.3-which is a private agency hence, present petition is not maintainable and the same may kindly be disposed of

as such with liberty to the petitioner to avail the remedy before the appropriate forum against the respondent N0.3.

3. Keeping in view the above, present petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to avail appropriate remedy before the appropriate forum against respondent No.3-which is a private agency.

April 02, 2024
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No