

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

277

2024:PHHC:031238

CRM-M-19149-2021 (O&M)

Date of decision: March 5th, 2024

Jarmanjit Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Gagandeep Singh Bajwa, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Amit Rana, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. L.S. Lakhanpal, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

Mr. Prikshit Thakur, Advocate
for Mr. Rahul Bhargava, Advocate
for applicant (in CRM-4916-2022).

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

CRM-4916-2022

Learned counsel for the applicant prays for withdrawal of
the instant application.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

CRM-M-19149-2021

The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.50 dated
05.03.2021 under Sections 420/120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860
registered at Police Station Civil Lines, Amritsar City and all the
subsequent proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of
compromise dated 22.04.2021 (Annexure P-2) arrived at, between the
parties.

2. Vide order dated 22.09.2021 of this Court, the parties were
directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on

04.10.2021 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned CJM, Amritsar, in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and private respondents have also made statement to the effect that they would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, along with its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and private respondents are the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned CJM, Amritsar, and the principles laid down by the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

March 5th, 2024

Puneet

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No