

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No.2270 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 01.05.2024

Gaurav Kumar
...Revisionist-Petitioner

Versus

Ashwani Kumar (Deceased) through LR
...Respondent

CORAM: HON’BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Mr. V.K. Sandhir, Advocate,
for the revisionist-petitioner.

Mr. Divanshu Jain, Advocate,
for the LR of the respondent-Caveator.

* * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner-tenant submits that he does not press for the adjudication of the instant revision-petition on merits and he, rather, undertakes on behalf of his client that he (petitioner) shall vacate the demised shop within a period of six months and he prays for granting him the time accordingly, for the afore-said purpose.

Learned counsel for the LR of the respondent-landlord has no objection to the above-discussed submission as well as the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner-tenant.

Resultantly, the revision-petition in hand stands dismissed as having not been pressed, with directions to the petitioner-tenant to vacate the demised shop up to 31.10.2024 positively and also to pay the *mesne-*

profits to the LR of the respondent-landlord, at the agreed rate of rent, regularly during this period. It is further clarified that in case of any default on the part of the petitioner-tenant in vacating the demised shop till the afore-stipulated date or in the payment of the *mesne-profits*, the LR of the respondent-landlord shall be at liberty to resort to the appropriate remedy, as may be permissible to him, in such eventuality.

01.05.2024
seema

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes*
Whether Reportable: *No*