

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(112)

CWP-7784-2024**Date of decision: - 08.04.2024****Mangat Ram @ Khamdi****....Petitioner****Versus****State of Punjab and others****.....Respondents****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

Present:- Ms. Kashish Sahni, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. TPS Walia, AAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus for directing the respondents to provide job to the family member of the petitioner namely Daroob Singh (grandson) in view of the rehabilitation and resettlement scheme for the outsees of Ranjit Sagar Dam Project (Annexure P-3) on account of acquisition of the entire land of the petitioner, with a prayer for issuance of the writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to decide the representation dated 18.03.2024 (Annexure P-4) in a time bound manner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioner, the petitioner had given a representation dated 18.03.2024 (Annexure P-4) and at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied, in case, competent authority of respondent No.1-State considers the same, in accordance with law, within a specified

time frame and in case, the plea raised by the petitioner is found to be meritorious, then, grant the appropriate relief to the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the respondent-State has submitted that competent authority of respondent No.1-State would consider the said representation dated 18.03.2024 (Annexure P-4), filed by the petitioner, in accordance with law and the same would be done within a period of three months from the date of certified copy of this order.

4. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of, with a direction to competent authority of respondent No.1-State to consider the representation dated 18.03.2024 (Annexure P-4) filed by the petitioner within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order and in case, competent authority of respondent No.1-State is of the view that the plea raised by the petitioner is meritorious, then, the appropriate relief be granted to the petitioner, as expeditiously as possible. In case, competent authority of respondent No.1-State is of the view that the plea raised by the petitioner is meritless, then, a speaking order rejecting the claim be passed within the aforesaid period of three months.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and competent authority of respondent No.1-State would consider and decide the matter independently, in accordance with law.

April 08, 2024
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No