

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-17486-2024
Date of decision: 20.05.2024

JITENDER ALIAS JITENDRA ALIAS LALA AND OTHERS

....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. Navneet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, DAG, Haryana.

Ms. Shivani Jaglan, Advocate
for respondents No. 2 and 3.


SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, petitioners seek quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise/affidavits dated 28.03.2024 (Annexures P-2 and P-3) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
12	17.01.2023	379-A of IPC and 201 of IPC added later on	Ladwa, District Kurukshetra

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise/affidavits dated 28.03.2024 (Annexures P-2 and P-3), which is duly signed by them.

The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of



CRM-M-17486-2024

the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. A copy of Receipt of costs of Rs. 10,000/- has been placed on record in compliance of the order dated 08.04.2024.

3. District and Sessions Judge, Kurukshetra, vide report dated 09.05.2024, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

4. Respondents No. 2 and 3 are represented by their counsel and do not oppose the compromise.

5. In view of the report of the District and Sessions Judge, Kurukshetra, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **“Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543** and **“Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466**, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

6. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 12 dated 17.01.2023, under Section 379-A of IPC and Section 201 of IPC added later on, registered at Police Station Ladwa, District Kurukshetra (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

20.05.2024

kanika

i) Whether speaking/reasoned?
ii) Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No