

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

116

LPA-860-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 04.04.2024

BALJINDER SINGH

...Appellant

VERSUS

SANTOKH SINGH AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Mr. Sherry K. Singla, Advocate
for the appellant

DEEPAK SIBAL, J.(ORAL)

(1) Learned counsel for the appellant contends that in the light of Section 16 of the Punjab Land Revenue Act, 1887 (for short-the Act), as amended on 10.12.2020, once the appellant had already challenged ‘Naksha Bey’ and ‘Naksha Zeem’ before the Financial Commissioner, challenge to the consequential ‘Sannad Takseem’ is barred but as this fact could not be brought to the notice of the learned Single Judge at the time or before passing of the impugned judgment, he be permitted to withdraw the present appeal with liberty to move an appropriate application before the learned Single Judge seeking review of the impugned judgment.

(2) Dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty as prayed for.

(3) All pending miscellaneous application(s) also stand disposed of.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

April 04, 2024

Nisha Yadav

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No