

118

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-3009-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 23.04.2024

Kiran
.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others
.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Ms. Tanya Mahajan, Advocate for
Mr. Anil Kumar Garg, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Manjot Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU. J.

CRM-W-478-2024

Application for impleading Punjab National Bank, Branch
Sahnewal, District Ludhiana as party/respondent No.7

Learned State counsel does not raise any objection in case the
application is allowed.

In view of the above and for the reasons stated in the application,
same is allowed, as prayed for subject to all just exceptions.

Amended Memo of Parties is taken on record. Registry to do the
needful.

Main case

Present writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the
Constitution for issuance of direction to respondents No.1 to 2 to protect life
and liberty of petitioner from respondents No.4 to 6.

2. Learned State counsel, after obtaining instructions, apprised the Court that matter has been amicably settled between the parties i.e. petitioner and respondents No.4 to 6 at their own level.
3. In view of the above, learned counsel for petitioner does not press the petition.
4. Ordered accordingly.
5. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

23.04.2024
SN

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No