

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(113)

CWP-7804-2024

Date of decision: - 08.04.2024

Jogesh Kumar Chhabra and others**....Petitioners****Versus****Punjab and Haryana High Court through its Registrar and another****.....Respondents****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

Present:- Mr. Munish Bhardwaj, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Anurag Goyal, Advocate, for the respondents.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction especially in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant to the petitioners who are working as Clerks on ad-hoc basis revised pay of Rs.35,600/- per month as per 6th Pay Commission in view of Gazette Notification No.09/01/2021-5FP1/671 dated 05.07.2021 (Annexure P-8) and letter No.09/01/2021-5FP1/893-898 dated 19.07.2021 (Annexure P-9) issued by the Punjab Government and duly adopted by the Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court vide letter No.1215 Spl. EII/L.80(a) 6E dated 15.11.2021 (Annexure P-10) from the date of their initial appointment with all consequential benefits i.e. arrears of pay with 18% interest.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioners, the petitioners had given a

representation dated 18.02.2022 (Annexure P-12) and at this stage, the petitioners would be satisfied, in case, respondent No.2 considers the same, in accordance with law, within a specified time frame and in case, the plea raised by the petitioners is found to be meritorious, then, grant the appropriate relief to the petitioners.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents has submitted that respondent No.2 would consider the said representation dated 18.02.2022 (Annexure P-12), filed by the petitioners, in accordance with law and the same would be done within a period of two months from the date of certified copy of this order.

4. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of, with a request to respondent No.2 to consider the representation dated 18.02.2022 (Annexure P-12) filed by the petitioners within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order and in case, respondent No.2 is of the view that the plea raised by the petitioners is meritorious, then, the appropriate relief be granted to the petitioners, as expeditiously as possible. In case, respondent No.2 is of the view that the plea raised by the petitioners is meritless, then, a speaking order rejecting the claim be passed within the aforesaid period of two months.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and respondent No.2 would consider and decide the matter independently, in accordance with law.

April 08, 2024
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No