

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-7761-2024
Date of decision: 05.04.2024

Gajraj SinghPetitioner

Versus

Haryana Shehari Vikas Pradhikaran and anotherRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Gaurav Bakshi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has prayed for the following substantive relief:-

“Civil Writ Petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus to grant extension of time to deposit balance 75% i.e. Rs. –(Rs.24,94,725.00 twenty four lakhs ninety four thousand seven hundred twenty Five rupees only of the bid amount in respect of commercial building no 106 in sector-1-PAT, Urban Estate Ptaudi as similar opportunity has been offered to similar allottees vide policy dated 3.5.2023 (Annexure P-7) for condonation in deposit balance amount with penal interest.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that prior to the institution of this petition, the petitioner had even served the respondent authorities with representations dated 18.01.2023 (P-4) and 28.03.2024 (P-5), but to no avail. Thus, interest/rights of the petitioner are severely impacted.

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate, for the respondents is present in Court. At the outset, he submits that as the competent authority is already in seisin of

the concerns/grievances of the petitioner, it would rather be expedient if this petition is disposed of, at this stage, to enable the respondent authorities to consider and pass necessary orders on his representations (*ibid*), in accordance with law. Further, he submits that before any such orders are passed, the petitioner shall be heard. And a formal communication, in this regard, shall also be issued to him.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is in agreement with the course suggested by learned counsel for the respondents and submits that let this petition be disposed of, in terms of the statement made by him. However, it is urged that the authorities be directed to do the needful, within a specified time.

In response, learned counsel for the respondents submits that appropriate orders shall be passed within a period of four weeks from today.

The petition is accordingly disposed of, in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties.

This Court is sanguine that the authorities shall look into the matter in the right earnest. And the appropriate orders shall be passed within the time indicated by learned counsel for the respondents.

Needless to assert that this order shall not constitute any expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated above, the competent authority shall examine the concerns/grievances of the petitioner, strictly in accordance with law.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

05.04.2024
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No