

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

116

CRWP-2965-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision : 15.04.2024

Reecha Petitioner
versus
State of Haryana and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present: Mr. Mukul Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. By way of present petition, petitioner seeks issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No.1 and 2 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner from the hands of private respondents.
2. Status report by way of affidavit of Satya Pal, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Detective, Hisar has been filed on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2-State. The same is taken on record. The stand of the State read as under:-

“xx xx xx

5. That on dated 07.04.2024 the inquiry officer recorded the statement of Respondent No. 3 to 6 namely Rajiv Kumar, Sanjeev Kumar, Rishabh Sharma and Utkarsh Sharma and they stated in their statements that petitioner Reecha is pursuing PhD in Zoology at Punjab University, Chandigarh and she (petitioner) went to her friend named Mukta, resident of Saharanpur about 15 days before. About this

2024:PHHC:053379

Mukta told the respondent No. 3 Rajiv Kumar (father of petitioner) on phone that Reecha has come to Saharanpur and she doesn't like that Reecha came to Saharanpur to meet her. On this respondent No. 3 Rajiv Kumar (father of petitioner) told Reecha (petitioner) that you don't go to Saharnapur to meet Mukta. For this reason Reecha got angry with respondent No. 3 Rajiv Kumar (father of petitioner). Apart from this, no family members have ever beaten Reecha (petitioner). They also stated that petitioner Reecha is an adult who is capable of taking decisions about her life and they will not say anything to petitioner Reecha and she can go wherever she wants and they have no objection. Copies of statements of Respondents no. 3 to 6 are annexed as **Annexure R-1 to R-4.**

6. That ASI Jaiveer Singh recorded the statements of respondents no. 3 to 6 Rajeev Kumar, Sanjeev Sharma, Rishabh Sharma and Utkarsh Sharma on dated 07.04.2024 in which they stated that about 15 days ago, petitioner Reecha had gone to Saharanpur to meet her friend Mukta and Mukta called Respondent No. 3 and informed him about the same and also stated that she did not like that Reecha come to meet her. On this, Respondent number 3 told the petitioner Reecha not to go to meet Mukta. Due to this, petitioner Reecha got angry. They stated that petitioner Richa has never been beaten by any of the family members. During the inquiry, no threat perception to the life of the petitioner was found. Copy of the report of inquiry officer ASI Jaiveer Singh is annexed as **Annexure R-5.**”

- 3. In view of above, no further order is warranted.
- 4. Petition stands disposed off.
- 5. Since the main case has been decided, pending miscellaneous application, if any, shall also stands disposed off.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

15.04.2024
Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No