



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

298

CRM-M-17027-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision: 07.11.2024

HARJINDER SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF UT CHANDIGARH AND ANR.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN

Present : Mr. D.S. Virk, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Y.S. Rathore, APP, U.T., Chandigarh
(through video conferencing)

Mr. S.S. Dhillon, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN, J.

[1] The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.97 dated 06.08.2019, under Section 4 of The Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961 (Section 406 IPC added later on) registered at Women Police Station, U.T., Chandigarh (Annexure P-1), on the basis of compromise deed dated 15.09.2022 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties.

[2] Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the FIR was registered at the instance of respondent No.2 on account of a misunderstanding between parties. He further contends that the matter has been settled between the parties and compromise deed dated 15.09.2022 (Annexure P-2) has been executed between them, as such, respondent No.2 does not want to take any action in the



FIR.

[3] Learned counsel for respondent No.2 confirms about the factum of compromise between the parties.

[4] On 03.04.2024 and 08.07.2024, the parties were directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording of their statements regarding the compromise.

[5] As per the report dated 30.07.2024 received from Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Chandigarh forwarded through the office of District and Sessions Judge, Chandigarh, compromise effected between the parties is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence.

[6] Learned State counsel has not raised any objection regarding the acceptance of the present petition.

[7] Keeping in view the fact that the compromise between the parties is genuine and voluntarily made and in view of the ratio of the decision of Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab (SC) 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543** and the decision of Hon'ble the Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, the ends of justice would meet if the FIR and consequential proceedings are quashed by accepting the compromise between the parties.

[8] Consequently, this petition is allowed and FIR No.97 dated 06.08.2019, under Section 4 of The Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961 (Section 406 IPC added later on) registered at Women Police Station, U.T., Chandigarh (Annexure P-1) and all the subsequent proceedings emanating therefrom are ordered to be quashed, qua the petitioner.

[9] However, the respondent No.2 and the State shall be at liberty to seek



cancellation of this order, in case the final terms and conditions of compromise (Annexure P-2) are violated.

[10] Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN)
JUDGE

07.11.2024
P.Bhatt

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No