

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

107-4

CR-4506-1997 (O&M)
Decided on :29.10.2024

Nek Chand and another

. . . Petitioners

Versus

Nanak Ram

. . . Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Vipin Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Rajesh Pal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners has prayed for yet another adjournment.
2. No adjournment slip has been filed in the present case nor the compromise has been placed on record.
3. In view of the same, the present petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.
4. Liberty is granted to the petitioners to revive the petition by placing on record the compromise and in case, there is no final settlement, then by moving an application making specific averment that the compromise has not been effected.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

29.10.2024

Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/~~No~~
~~Yes~~/No