



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

618

CWP-10181-2005

Date of decision :02.12.2024

Dharam Pal

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Shreesh Kakkar, Advocate and
Mr. Shivam Kapila, Advocate for
Mr. Kapil Kakkar, Advocate for the petitioner.


Ms. Saguna Arora, AAG, Punjab.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of certiorari, for quashing the order dated 21.06.2005 (Annexure P-10) and for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to allow the petitioner to continue to perform his duty as Sewadar (Peon) and for stay the operation of the order dated 21.06.2005 (Annexure P-10).

2. Learned State counsel has produced a copy of the order dated 27.07.2011, vide which the petitioner has been given fresh appointment.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he has no instructions from the petitioner.



5. In this view of the order dated 27.07.2011, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to revive the same in case any cause of action still survives.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

02.12.2024
Nisha-I

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No