

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

202

CWP-17187-1997
Date of decision: 01.03.2024

Gurdas Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

The Punjab State Civil Supplies Corporation
through its Chairman and anotherRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. S.S. Brar, Advocate for the respondents.

NAMIT KUMAR J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of directions to the respondents to regularise his service.
2. On the last date of hearing i.e. 21.02.2024, the following order was passed :-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner appeared through video conferencing in the morning session, however, due to some technical hitch on his part, the matter could not be concluded and he requested that he shall appear physically in the Court after lunch.

The case has been called twice after lunch but no one has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner.

There is no representation on behalf of the respondents.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Aman Sharma, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and seeks time to get instructions.

Adjourned to 01.03.2024.

Since the present case relates to the year 1997, no further adjournment shall be granted on the next date of hearing.”

2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that since during the pendency of the present petition, the petitioner has already been regularised w.e.f. 01.01.1997 and has also retired from service on 30.09.2022, therefore, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

4. Disposed of as having become infructuous.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

01.03.2024
kothiyal

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No