

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-16712-2024  
Date of decision: 13.08.2024

NARESH KHOSLA ALIAS NARESH KUMAR AND OTHERS

....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER ...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Ms. Gurneet Kaur, Advocate for  
Mr. R.S. Bajaj, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ankit Grewal, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sachin Kalia, Advocate for respondents No.2.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

1. By way of present petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, petitioners seek quashing of FIR and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 13.02.2024 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties.

Details of the FIR (Annexure P-1) are as follows:

| FIR No. | Dated      | Sections                    | Police Station                     |
|---------|------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 235     | 06.08.2023 | 420, 384, 342, 120-B of IPC | Sadar Amritsar, District Amritsar. |

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed dated 13.02.2024 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. Learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Amritsar, vide report



CRM-M-16712-2024

2

dated 06.08.2024, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. The petitioner has also deposited cost of Rs.5,000/- in compliance of order dated 25.07.2024.

3. Respondents No. 2 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

4. In view of the report of the Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Amritsar, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 235 dated 06.08.2023 under Sections 420, 384, 342, 120-B of IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar Amritsar, District Amritsar, and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

(SANJIV BERRY)  
JUDGE

13.08.2024  
Kanika

- |     |                            |        |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i)  | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable?        | Yes/No |