

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-7573-2024
Date of decision: 04.04.2024

Manju YadavPetitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present: Mr. Gaurav Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate,
for the respondent-Housing Board Haryana,

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has prayed for the following substantive relief:-

“Civil Writ Petition under article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, praying for issuance of writ in the nature of Certiorari by calling for the records and quashing of Demarcating Plan & Total Station Survey Plan of Sector-46, Gurugram (Annexure P-3) prepared by the Respondent No.2 wherein the layout of the Residential Site consisting of 22 residential plots of 6 Marla Category in Sector-46, Gurugram is such that no natural light and air ventilation is provided to the residential Plot bearing Plot No.2673R, Sector-46, Urban Estate Gurgaon-II, Gurugram of the Petitioner;

AND

For issuance of writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the Respondents to allot an alternative residential Plot in Sector-46, Gurugram to the Petition having natural light and air ventilation, in the interest of justice”

After we had heard the matter at some length, learned counsel for the parties have reached a consensus: it would be expedient if the petition is disposed of, at this stage, to enable the competent authority to deal with the concerns/grievances of the petitioner, as sought to be raised in the petition. And pass necessary orders, in accordance with law, within a period of three weeks from today. It is also agreed that before any such orders are passed, the petitioner shall be afforded a hearing. And she shall be at liberty to place any fresh material/documents to support/substantiate her claim, provided the same are submitted within a week from today or at the time of hearing.

In the wake of the position sketched out above and the consensus that has been arrived at between the learned counsel for the parties, the petition is disposed of.

Needless to assert that this order shall not constitute an expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated earlier, the competent authority shall examine the grievances of the petitioner, strictly in accordance with law.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

04.04.2024
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No