

208

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-14130-1997
Date of decision: 08.04.2024

JAI PARKASH

...Petitioner

VERSUS

HARYANA URBAN DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY, PANCHKULA
AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Singh Pannu, Advocate
for the respondents.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. The present writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioner for regularization in term of policy decisions Annexure P-1 and Annexure P-2 and in case not found suitable for regularization, then the claim be considered in light of instructions Annexure P-3 and Annexure P-4.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents has categorically submitted that the petitioner had already been regularized with effect from 19.03.1997 and thereafter, he retired and he had been granted all the benefits in this regard and thereafter, he died on 01.11.2017.

3. In view of the aforesaid position and especially in view of the fact that there is no application for impleading the LR's of the petitioner, the present writ petition is dismissed.
4. However, in case at any stage, any cause of action still survives, then the LR's of the petitioner shall be at liberty to move an appropriate application for revival of the present writ petition, if so advised or so desired.

08.04.2024
Chetan Thakur

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No