



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

112

CRM-M-18146-2024
Date of decision: May 09, 2024

RUBY GILL
...Petitioner
Versus
STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER
...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Anmol Rattan Sidhu, Senior Advocate
with Mr. Kanishk Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 seeking issuance of directions to respondent No.2 for fair and impartial investigation in connection to the complaint CMO-GE No.Dak/24/2023/GA2/2579508 dated 04.09.2023, Sh. No.23945 dated 05.09.2023, SS Home 3185 dated 06.09.2023, Press-1 Branch 7990 dated 11.09.2023 (Annexure P-1) filed by the petitioner, by constituting a Special Investigation Team consisting of Senior Police Officials outside District Amritsar.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the complaint was given on 19.08.2023 (Annexure P-1) to the police authorities, however, it had failed to yield any response. It has been submitted that the official respondents were under a legal obligation to pass an appropriate order on his complaint. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2-Director General of Police, Punjab, Punjab Police Headquarters, Sector 9, Chandigarh to look into the complaint dated 19.08.2023 (Annexure P-1) of the petitioner and take appropriate steps in accordance with



CRM-M-18146-2024

-2-

3. Notice of motion to official respondents only.
4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Navdeep Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.
5. In the wake of the limited prayer of the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of and respondent No.2-Director General of Police, Punjab, Punjab Police Headquarters, Sector 9, Chandigarh, is directed to look into the grievance of the petitioner and take appropriate steps, if any required, under the provisions of law. However, if respondent No.2 does not find any substance in the allegations levelled in the complaint dated 19.08.2023 (Annexure P-1), then the petitioner shall be duly informed as per the parameters laid down by the Supreme Court in *Lalita Kumari v. Government of Uttar Pradesh & Ors. 2013 (4) RCR (Criminal) 979*.

May 09, 2024
Jaspreet Kaur

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No