

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

120/7

CRWP No.2932 of 2024
Date of Decision: 05.04.2024

Nisha Rani and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Vikram Kumar, Advocate, for
Mr. Gursevak Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajiv Verma, DAG, Punjab.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus seeking direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and to restrain the private respondents No.4 to 10 not to harass or interfere in the peaceful married life of the petitioners.

Both the petitioners are present in Court wherein petitioner No.1- Nisha Rani assisted by her counsel Sh. Vikram Kumar, asserts that there were serious threats at the hands of respondents No.8 and 9, namely, Satpal and Manohar Lal (Chaacha) respectively as well as respondents No.6 and 7, namely, Dharmpreet and Pardeep (both brothers). Petitioner No.1-Nisha Rani through her counsel has categorically stated that she has no threat from respondents No.4 and 5 who are father and mother as well as respondent No.10-Gurmeet Singh who is maternal uncle.

Respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, District Sri Muktsar Sahib is directed to consider the representation dated 30.03.2024 (Annexure P-5) and to ensure that no harm is caused to the petitioners who are presently residing at Village Siknpur, District Kapurthala and all necessary steps be taken as per law forthwith.

Let the needful be done within a period of 12 hours from the date of certified copy of this order.

With these observations, the writ petition is disposed of.

05.04.2024
D.Bansal

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No