



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

288

CRM-M-16281-2024

Date of Decision.:27.05.2024

Sukhwinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Surinder Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Vishal Ranjan, Advocate for
the petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail by way of petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case FIR No.130 dated 01.09.2023 under Sections 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 472, 120-B of the IPC registered at Police Station Lalru, District SAS Nagar.

2. Learned counsel contends that sale deed in question was executed wayback on 29.07.2011 by way of which co-accused Sarban Singh, Deep Kaur, Sohan Singh and Jasvir Singh had purchased the land from forefathers of the complainant against valuable consideration. As per complainant, said sale deed is a forged document. Learned counsel contends further that petitioner purchased a chunk of land measuring 6 Bigha out of

**CRM-M-16281-2024****-2-**

total 26 Bigha, 5 Biswa of land from Smt. Deep Kaur by way of registered sale deed dated 08.12.2011 against valuable sale consideration and that he is a *bona fide* purchaser. Still further, it is contended that his vendor Smt. Deep Kaur has already been allowed the benefit of anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 04.12.2023 passed in CRM-M-56311-2023 (Annexure P-4). Other co-accused Sarvan Singh, Baldev Singh, Sohan Singh, Jasvir Singh, Dalbir Singh, Gursewak Singh and Nirmal Singh have also been allowed the benefit of anticipatory/regular bail from time to time. Learned counsel contends further that petitioner is in custody since 10.02.2024; that case is based upon documentary evidence so, in all these circumstances he be allowed bail.

3. Learned State counsel has opposed the bail petition by pointing out towards the nature of offence. However, it is conceded by learned State counsel that co-accused Baldev Singh, Sohan Singh and Jasvir Singh have already been allowed regular bail on 24.01.2024 passed in CRM-M-62661-2023 (Annexure P-5); whereas co-accused Deep Kaur, from whom petitioner had purchased the land, was allowed anticipatory bail vide order dated 04.12.2023 passed in CRM-M-56311-2023 (Annexure P-4).

4. The custody certificate reveals that petitioner is in custody for the last 03 months and 14 days. He has no other criminal case pending against him. Case is mostly dependant upon documentary evidence. Case is triable by Magistrate. Trial is likely to take long time to conclude.



CRM-M-16281-2024

-3-

5. Having regard to the above facts and circumstances, but without commenting anything further on the merits of the case, this petition is allowed and petitioner is admitted to bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Learned Trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned, on usual terms and conditions.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

May 27, 2024
Neetika Tuteja

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No