



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(231)

CWP-9457-2021 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 23.05.2024

Mohini Chopra

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sachin Mittal, Advocate and
Mr. Paarth Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that the respondents have framed certain Draft Rules for promotion to the various posts, which exist in the department including that of Superintendent but said rules are not being finalized by the respondents, which prejudice the promotional prospects of the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the rules governing the service have already been proposed to be amended so as to include the post of Personal Assistant in the Feeder Cadre for promotion to the post of Superintendent but, the said amendment to the rules is not being taken to the logical end, due to which, the petitioner is suffering, hence, the respondents should be directed to take appropriate decision as



to whether, the rules governing the service qua the promotion of Superintendent needs to be amended accordingly so as to include the post of Personal Assistant in the Feeder Cadre or not so that the petitioner should be satisfied whether, in her service career she will get promotion to the post of Superintendent or not and the respondents be directed to decide qua the said amendment in a time bound manner so that petitioner does not suffer any further prejudice.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case any such proposal of amendment of the rules governing the service is pending consideration with the authorities concerned so as to include the post of Personal Assistant in the Feeder Cadre for promotion to the post of Superintendent, the same will be finalized within a period of four months from the receipt of copy of this order. In case, the department is of the view that no such amendment is to be undertaken, within the said period of four months, the petitioner will be accordingly informed.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

5. Ordered accordingly.

Pending miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

May 23rd, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No