

**249(02) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-15210-2020
Date of decision: 09.01.2024**

MASSA SINGHPetitioner

versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERSRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Kunwarbir Singh, AAG, Punjab.

HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present petition is for issuance of direction to official respondents No.2 and 3 for not harassing/humiliating the petitioner and further protecting the petitioner who is a prosecution witness in FIR No.110 dated 24.10.2018, under Sections 420, 406, 498-A and 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Mehna, District Moga.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that respondent No.4-Pardeep Kaur filed an application (Annexure P-4) to Senior Superintendent of Police, Moga upon which, inquiry was conducted by Deputy Superintendent of Police, Dharamkot and as per the inquiry report dated 21.03.2020 (Annexure P-8), the said application filed by respondent No.4-Pardeep Kaur, was found to be false.

3. In the reply filed by the State on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3, this fact has been confirmed that respondent No.4-Pardeep Kaur moved an application bearing No.450-PC-4 of 2020 before SSP, Moga with the allegation that the petitioner along with other persons named in the FIR used to do obscene acts and eye-teasing on the applicant and they used to pass bad and vulgar comments on

seeing her. However, on the basis of the inquiry conducted on the said application

by DSP, Dharamkot, no substance was found in the said allegations. It is further mentioned in the reply filed by the State that the petitioner is not required by the Police in connection with any case. There is no apprehension to life and liberty of the petitioner.

4. As the petitioner is not required by the official respondents in any case; trial in FIR No.110 dated 24.10.2018, under Sections 420, 406, 498-A and 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Mehna, District Moga, is still pending and there is no apprehension to life and liberty of the petitioner, as such, the present petition has become infructuous.

5. Accordingly, the present petition stands disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

6. However, the petitioner may approach the competent authorities under the Witness Protection Scheme of the State Government, in case again there is any threat to the petitioner during the trial.

7. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.

(HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN)
JUDGE

09.01.2024
sim

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No