

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-15859-2024  
Date of decision: 20.04.2024

MANOJ AND OTHERS ....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS ....Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. Ashit Malik, Advocate for the petitioners.  
  
Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana.  
  
Mr. Munish Gupta, Advocate for respondents No.2 and 3.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, petitioners seek quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise/affidavit dated 27.03.2024 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

| FIR No. | Dated      | Sections                          | Police Station         |
|---------|------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|
| 289     | 17.09.2023 | 406, 420, 467, 468 and 471 of IPC | Rai, District Sonipat. |

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed/affidavit dated 27.03.2024 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. A copy of Receipt of costs of Rs. 10,000/- has been placed on record in compliance of the order

dated 02.04.2024.

3. Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Sonipat, vide report dated 12.04.2024, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

4. Respondents No. 2 and 3 are represented by their counsel and do not oppose the compromise.

5. In view of the report of the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Sonipat, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **“Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543** and **“Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466**, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

6. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 289 dated 17.09.2023, under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468 and 471 of IPC, registered at Police Station Rai, District Sonipat (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

(SANJIV BERRY)  
JUDGE

20.04.2024  
kanika

- |     |                            |        |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i)  | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable?        | Yes/No |