



CWP-7488-2023 (O&M)

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

231/2

CWP-7488-2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 07.08.2024

Chamkaur Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: - Mr. Pardeep Singh Mirpur, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Gurpartap Singh Bhullar,
Assistant Advocate General, Punjab

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to consider him for the post of Constable.

2. The respondent-State advertised 7416 posts of Constables in different cadres of Punjab Police. The petitioner applied for the post under SC Category. In the application form, there was no column of sub-category, thus, he could not point out that he belongs to SC (M&B) Category. The respondent has considered case of various candidates who were similarly situated and revised merit list.



3. This Court considered identical issue in a bunch of writ petitions. By judgment dated 26.05.2023 passed in ***CWP-2208-2017; Jaimal Singh v. Director General of Police, Punjab, Chandigarh and another***, it was concluded that respondent has considered case of all candidates belonging to SC (R&O) and SC (M&B) Categories. Accordingly, writ petitions were disposed of.

4. Mr. Pardeep Singh Mirpur, Advocate submits that petitioner belongs to SC (M&B) and he was not considered in his category while considering case of 21 other similarly situated candidates.

5. Learned State counsel submits that petitioner had applied under SC Category and opted for District Police Cadre. As per his instructions, the last selected candidate had secured 25 marks whereas the petitioner had secured 24 marks.

6. The petitioner disputes aforesaid statement and prays that respondent may be directed to consider his case for District Police Cadre under SC (M&B) Category. He has secured 24 marks. He would be eligible if last selected candidate has secured less than 24 marks.

7. Faced with this, learned State counsel submits that case of the petitioner would be re-considered and an appropriate order shall be passed by the competent authority.

8. In the wake of statement of learned State counsel, the present petition stands disposed of with a direction to respondent-State to re-consider

case of the petitioner and pass an appropriate order within two months from today.

9. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

07.08.2024
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No