



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

215-3

CRM-M-15791-2024

Date of decision: 08.05.2024

Pawan Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Kuldeep Singh Siwach, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Deepshikha Chauhan, AAG, Haryana.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.490 dated 08.09.2023 under Sections 148, 149, 323, 307, 452, 427, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 25 (Act No.54) of the Arms Act, 1959 registered at Police Station City Fatehabad, District Fatehabad.

2. Status report by way of affidavit of Jai Pal Singh, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, H.q., Fatehabad, has been filed in the Court today which is taken on record subject to all just exceptions. A copy of the same has been supplied to the counsel opposite.

3. On 01.04.2024, while noticing the following submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia



contends that the petitioner was not named in the FIR in question but came to be nominated as an accused on the basis of a disclosure statement allegedly suffered by co-accused Vikram, who stated that the petitioner was also accompanying him at the time of the occurrence in question. It has also been submitted by the learned counsel that after the registration of the FIR in question, the parties with the intervention of the well wishers had arrived at an amicable settlement.”

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 01.04.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

5. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. She, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

6. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 01.04.2024, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

08.05.2024

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)

Vinay

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No