

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(121)

CRWP-2836-2024

Date of decision: - 28.03.2024

Renu and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. J.S. Thakur, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Kunwarbir Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. The present Criminal Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directing respondent Nos.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.
2. It is the case of the petitioners that they both are major inasmuch as petitioner No.1 was born on 27.01.1996 and petitioner No.2 was born on 18.01.1998 and have married each other on 26.03.2024, with their free consent and without any pressure and that this is their first marriage. Reliance has been placed upon Aadhar Cards (Annexures P-1 & P-2) and the marriage certificate (Annexure P-3). It is also stated by the learned counsel for the petitioners that a detailed representation dated 26.03.2024 (Annexure P-4) has also been given to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur, with regard to the same.

3. Notice of motion to respondent No.1 to 3 only.
4. On advance notice, Mr. Kunwarbir Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3 and on a specific query put by this Court, learned State counsel has stated that he has no objection in case, respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur, looks into the representation dated 26.03.2024 (Annexure P-4) and takes appropriate action in accordance with law.
5. After considering the abovesaid facts and without commenting upon the legality of the marriage and expressing any opinion on merits of the case, the present Criminal Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 to look into the representation dated 26.03.2024 (Annexure P-4) and after considering the threat perception to the petitioners, respondent No.2 will take appropriate action in accordance with law.
6. It is, however, clarified that this order shall not debar the State from proceeding against the petitioners, if involved in any other case.

March 28, 2024
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No