

THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP No.2810 of 2024
Date of Decision: 27.03.2024

Suraj Kumar Maurya and another
..... Petitioners

Versus

U.T. Chandigarh and others
..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Pal Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Sarvesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

Present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directing respondents No.1 to 3 for protecting the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of respondents No.4 & 5. Further prayer has been made for directing official respondents to decide the representation dated 22.03.2024 (Annexure P-5) of the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that both the petitioners are major and have married against the wishes of respondents No.4 & 5 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents No.4 & 5. Their marriage certificate and photographs evidencing their marriage are on record as Annexures P-3 and P-4 respectively. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 22.03.2024 (Annexure P-5) to respondent No.2.

Notice of motion to respondents no.1 to 3-State only.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Sarvjit Singh Khurana, APP, U.T. Chandigarh appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3-State.

Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent No.2-the Senior Superintendent of Police, U.T. Chandigarh to decide the representation (Annexure P-5) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.

Disposed of.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

27.03.2024
rittu

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No