



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-3727-2022 (O&M)

Date of decision : 25.09.2024

Sandeep Kumar

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Pawan Kumar Hooda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. A.G., Haryana

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed for directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life, liberty and property of the petitioner at the hands of respondent No.7, who is calling time and again to the petitioner and threatening him to implicate him in case FIR No.77 dated 11.03.2016, under Sections 120-B, 420, 467 and 471 of IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar Panipat, District Panipat whereas number of times the petitioner joined the investigation and was found innocent by different investigation officers in the case, however, whenever a new Incharge of Economic Offence Wing comes, he again started calling the petitioner. Further prayer has been made for issuance of appropriate direction to the respondents No.2 to 4 to file the status report in the present FIR (Annexure P-1) and till then no coercive steps be taken against the petitioner.

2. Status report by way of affidavit of Mr. Suresh Kumar, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Gharaunda has been filed on behalf of



respondents-State, in the Court today, same is taken on record. Copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. Learned State counsel has drawn the attention of this Court to the written statement dated 15.07.2023. He has submitted that though the petitioner is not challaned, however, in the further investigation, it has come in the disclosure statement of the co-accused that 8th Class certificate issued to one co-accused Dharambir, was found to be forged and as the petitioner is the owner of the School from which it has been issued, the case is under investigation. He submits that the investigating agencies would proceed in the investigation in accordance with the law.

4. In view of the same, the present petition is disposed of with direction to the respondents-State to proceed further in accordance with the law. However, the petitioner would be at liberty to pursue his remedy as available to him in accordance with law.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

25.09.2024
ps-I

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No