

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

116

CWP-8160-2024

Date of Decision :10.04.2024

Narender Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ritesh Tomar, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has competed for the post of Lab Technician and had secured more marks than the last selected candidate in the category of Economically Weaker Section (EWS) but without any valid justification, the claim of the petitioner for appointment to the post in question has not been considered by the respondents whereas, the candidates having lesser merit than the petitioner have been selected. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that action of the respondents in ignoring the candidate having higher merit so as to appoint the candidates having lesser merit, is arbitrary and illegal.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the grievance raised in the present petition has already been raised by the petitioner by way of submitting the representation dated 12.03.2024 (Annexure P-7) and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage in case a time bound direction is

issued to the respondents to decide the said representation.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the State.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case representation dated 12.03.2024 (Annexure P-7) has been received from the petitioner and is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided in accordance with law and an appropriate speaking order will be passed within a period of 04 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order and in case it is found feasible to grant the relief to the petitioner, the same will be extended to him otherwise, due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner, which will be conveyed to the petitioner.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned counsel for the respondents, present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

April 10, 2024

aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No