

211

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-15689-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision : 04.04.2024

Bhupinder Singh ...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana ...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr.P.S. Bajwa, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kiran Pal Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Kartar Singh Malik, Advocate for the complainant.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure has been filed for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in FIR No.0023 dated 19.01.2024, under Sections 420, 406, 467, 468, 471 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Civil Lines, District Jind.

2. Allegations are that petitioner along with other co-accused misused the documents received from the complainant for getting Visa and fabricated his Marriage Certificate with one Manpreet Kaur.

3. Reply filed by of affidavit dated 04.04.2024 of Mr. Rohtash Singh, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Law and Order, Jind is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to the other side.

Registry to do the needful.

4. Contends that petitioner is just an employee of co-accused Partap Singh Rana, who is running an emigration firm and no amount was received by him. Further contended that alleged marriage certificate was got prepared by the complainant himself and the same was submitted before the Canadian Embassy, but due to certain objections, the Visa could not be granted to him. Also submitted that petitioner is ready to join the investigation, and as such, he be protected.

5. *Per contra*, learned State counsel, on instructions, while opposing the prayer, submitted that the petitioner along with other co-accused misused the documents provided by the complainant and falsely portrayed his marriage with one Manpreet Kaur on the basis of Marriage Certificate purported to be issued by Registrar of Marriages, U.T. Chandigarh, which later on was found to be fabricated.

6. Learned counsel for the complainant contends that an amount of Rs.23,33,000/- was paid to the petitioner as well as other co-accused and which is yet to be recovered.

7. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the paper-book.

8. Allegations are that petitioner along with other co-accused prepared a forged Marriage Registration Certificate bearing No.9254 dated 07.03.2023, of complainant with one Manpreet Kaur for arranging the Canadian Visa. On enquiry, said marriage certificate is found to be forged as no such marriage was solemnized or registered with the Registrar of Marriages, U.T. Chandigarh. Thus, in such a

scenario, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is very much necessary to find out the true facts.

9. In view of the above, there is no option except to dismiss the petition.

10. Ordered accordingly.

11. The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on merits of the case, in any manner.

12. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

04.04.2024

atulsethi

**(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No