

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-15929-2024  
Date of Decision:05.04.2024

Nehru Dutt @ Kapil ...Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana ...Respondent

Coram : Hon’ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr.Jitender K. Sehrawat, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Ms. Sheenu Sura, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

\*\*\*

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 439 Cr.PC with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No. 103 dated 27.02.2024, registered under Sections 384,120-B of IPC, Police Station City Hansi, District Hisar, Haryana.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in the FIR, no role has been assigned to the present petitioner and it was stated that the petitioner was accompanied by Satpal, who had allegedly taken money from Jaipal, complainant .Learned counsel further contends that in fact, a girl namely Preeti Gaur had lodged one FIR No. 86 dated 16.11.2023 under Sections 328, 376 (2), 506,406,509 of IPC, at Women Police Station Hansi against Naveen Naru son of Satbir Singh. Later on, Naveen Naru alleged that he was blackmailed by certain persons and money was demanded from him to ensure that the complainant in the said case retracts from her statement. Learned counsel

further submits that the money, which was taken from Jaipal, has already been recovered from Satpal co-accused. The petitioner was arrested in the present case on 27.02.2024 and nothing is to be recovered from him.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and the petitioner does not deserve the concession of bail.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the Court record carefully.

5. In the present case, the petitioner was allegedly present at the spot, when Satpal co-accused had taken money from the complainant of the present case. The petitioner is in custody since 27.02.2024 and the recovery of money had already been taken place from the co-accused of the petitioner.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

05.04.2024  
hitesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No