

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

105

CRWP-2783-2024
Date of Decision: 27.03.2024

SAKSHI AND ANOTHER

.....Petitioners

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present: Mr. Rajinder Partap Singh Jammu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

- After performing marriage, petitioners are presently residing at Village Rathaura, District Baghpat, Uttar Pradesh, as is evident from the memo of parties. Petitioners are seeking protection by issuing directions to respondent Nos. 1 to 3 against respondent No.4.
2. Learned counsel contends that respondent No.4 is residing in Haryana and therefore protection be granted to the petitioners.
3. Since the petitioners are residing in Uttar Pradesh, no direction is possible to be given to respondent Nos. 1 to 3 against respondent No.4.
4. Dismissed.

27.03.2024

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

pry

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No