



297 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-15742-2024
DATE OF DECISION:-15.05.2024

Parveen and another ...Petitioners..

vs.

State of Haryana and another ...Respondents..

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Ravinder Bangar, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ashwani Kumar Paul, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (Oral)

1. By way of present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., the petitioners pray for quashing of FIR No.39, dated 14.02.2024, registered under Section 379-A IPC, at Police Station City Pehowa, District Kurukshetra (Annexure P-1) and all other subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise statement cum affidavit dated 11.03.2024 (Annexure P-2).
2. As per the allegation levelled in the FIR, the petitioners snatched mobile phone from the complainant.
3. In pursuance of order dated 01.04.2024 passed by this Court, whereby parties were directed to appear before the Trial Court for getting

their statements recorded as regards the veracity of compromise arrived at

between them, report dated 15.04.2024 has been received from the concerned Court, stating that the compromise seems to be genuine and voluntary and out of free will. No accused has been declared as proclaimed offender.

4. Once, the compromise has been arrived at between the parties without any pressure and respondent No.2 having no objection as regards quashing of FIR as well as all other subsequent proceedings arising out of the same against the petitioners; there does not appear to be any impediment as regards quashing of present FIR qua the petitioners. Even otherwise, in order to maintain peace and harmony between the parties, particularly under the circumstances wherein the alleged offences have no societal interest involved, it would be appropriate to render complete quietus to the aforementioned dispute by quashing the FIR on the basis of compromise entered into between the parties.

5. The parties having settled their dispute so as to live in peace in future, no useful purpose would be served by proceeding further with the criminal proceedings. In the light of above developments, no cause remains for the Trial Court to invest further time and effort in adjudicating this FIR. The compromise in question is even found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in ***Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543.***

6. Moreover, learned counsel for the petitioners on instructions from his clients, submit that they volunteer to serve public cause by providing one (01) stretcher to Civil Hospital, Pehowa, District Kurukshetra.

7. Thus, in view of the aforesaid facts, accompanied by statements

of both the parties as well as keeping in mind the law laid down in the
aforementioned judgments, FIR No.39, dated 14.02.2024, registered under
Section 379-A IPC, at Police Station City Pehowa, District Kurukshetra
(Annexure P-1) as well as all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are
hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

8. Accordingly, petition stands allowed, however, subject to
providing one (01) stretcher to Civil Hospital, Pehowa, District
Kurukshetra, within a period of two weeks from today as volunteered by the
petitioners against due receipt issued by the concerned Civil Surgeon, who
shall prepare an inventory in this regard for its regular inspection by the
Director concerned. A copy of the receipt shall also be sent to the office of
Advocate General, Haryana at the earliest for maintaining records in this
regard.

15.05.2024
sonika

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
whether reportable: Yes/No