

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-3217-2023
Date of Decision:02.04.2024

Naresh Kumar ...Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab and Others ...Respondents

Coram : **Hon’ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat**

Present : Mr. Rajiv Joshi, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepinder Brar, Sr.DAG, Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India with a prayer to direct the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of the private respondents, who were harassing the petitioner in collusion with the local police.
2. A short reply by way of an affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Phillaur, District Jalandhar has been filed on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 and the same is taken on record.
3. Learned State counsel submits that a complaint dated 06.01.2021 was submitted by the petitioner against his wife i.e. respondent No.6 and his son i.e respondent No.7. An enquiry was conducted in the said matter and now after enquiry, the matter has been closed by the police. Learned State counsel submits that the petitioner is not required in any complaint/criminal case, at this stage and there is no question of harassment by the local police.
4. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, no further

directions are required and the petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

02.04.2024
hitesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No