



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-15741-2024
Date of decision : 12.08.2024

TEJBIR ALIAS TEJ KUMAR AND OTHERS
... Petitioner(s)
Versus
STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER
...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. R.K. Lathwal, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Deepak Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Mazlish Khan, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)

The prayer in this petition is for quashing of an FIR No.38 dated 21.02.2024 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC, 1860 at Police Station Panipat City, District Panipat along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of a compromise arrived at between the parties.

Vide order dated 01.04.2024 this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded with regard to the compromise dated 11.03.2024 (P-2) and the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court was to submit a report in this regard giving certain details as enumerated in the said order.

Pursuant to the order dated 01.04.2024 passed by this Court, the parties have appeared before the Chief Judicial Magistrate-cum-Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Panipat and as per the report dated 11.06.2024



CRM-M-15741-2024

-2-

submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in “***Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*** and ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543***”.

Further, the learned counsel for the petitioner, while placing reliance upon the judgments passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Jayrajsingh Digvijaysingh Rana Versus State of Gujarat and another, 2012(4) R.C.R. (Criminal) 589*** and this Court in ***Joginder Singh & another Vs. State of Punjab and another, CRM-M-23739-2010 decided on 27.04.2011***, ***Rajinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab & another, CRM-M-37395-2016 decided on 16.05.2017***, ***Bhoj Raj Vs. State of Punjab & another, CRM-24945-2019 decided on 27.09.2019*** and ***Vimal Kalra & others Versus State of Punjab & another, CRM-***



CRM-M-15741-2024

-3-

M-20355-2022, decided on 25.07.2022 submits that partial quashing of the FIR was possible on the basis of a compromise.

In view of the aforesaid report of the Chief Judicial Magistrate-cum-Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Panipat accompanied by statements of both the parties, the FIR No.38 dated 21.02.2024 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC, 1860 at Police Station Panipat City, District Panipat along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners only.

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

12.08.2024
JITESH

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No