

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-15869-2024  
Date of Decision:05.04.2024

Dharambir ...Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana ...Respondent

**Coram :**    **Hon’ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat**

**Present :**    Mr.S.K Verma, Advocate  
                     for the petitioner.

Ms. Sheenu Sura, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

\*\*\*

**N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)**

1.            The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 439 Cr.PC with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No. 355 dated 12.10.2023, registered under Sections 323,341,506 and 34 of IPC (Section 307 IPC added later on) Police Station Julana, District Jind.
2.            Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that even though the petitioner was shown to be present at the place of alleged occurrence and had allegedly abused, but no specific role has been assigned to him. He further contends that as per the MLR of Partik, injured, there is only one injury, which is simple in nature and shown to be caused by blunt weapon. Learned counsel has placed reliance on the MLR (Annexure P-2) in this regard. He further contends that the petitioner was arrested in the present case on 23.11.2023 and is in custody for the last more than 04 months. He next contends that no other case has been registered against the petitioner and the final report under Section

173 Cr. P.C has already presented against him.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and the petitioner does not deserve the concession of bail.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the Court record carefully.

5. The petitioner was ordered to be arrested on 23.11.2023 and is in custody for the last more than 04 months. Even otherwise, the prosecution has placed reliance on 14 witnesses, however, no witness has been examined so far. Thus, there are little chances of early conclusion of the trial before the Trial court.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

05.04.2024  
hitesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No