

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

110

CWP-8696-2024

Date of decision: 20.04.2024

VINOD KUMAR SONI

...Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Ms. Geeta Singhwal, Advocate with
Mr. Manoj Panchal, Advocate and
Mr. M.L. Singhwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J.(ORAL)

(1) Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at this stage, she would be satisfied if the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 – Municipal Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Panchkula to take a decision on the representation dated 26.12.2023 (Annexure P-10) made by the petitioner.

(2) Accordingly, at this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Municipal Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Panchkula to take a decision on the aforesaid representation made by the petitioner, by passing speaking order(s) and after affording opportunity of hearing to the

petitioner, preferably within one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

(4) Needless to add that the decision so taken be communicated to the petitioner and if the same is not to the satisfaction of the petitioner, he would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

April 20, 2024
Nisha Yadav

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No