

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(128)

CWP No. 7289 of 2024
Date of Decision : 01.04.2024

Subham Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ravinder Bangar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Tapan Kumar Yadav, Deputy Advocate General,
Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioner is that the respondents conducted the written examination while filling the post of Dispenser Ayurvedic against the Advertisement No. 3/2023 dated 20.03.2023, which was advertised under the Category No. 284. After the conduct of the written examination, the answer key was published on 14.01.2024 (Annexure P-4) against which, the petitioner had raised objections on 17.01.2024, a copy of which has been appended as Annexure P-5 but without deciding those objections, on 05.02.2024 (Annexure P-6), the result was declared, which is arbitrary and illegal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the revised answer key, after considering the objections raised against the initial

answer key, has never been published by the respondents, which is causing prejudice to the petitioner.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Tapan Kumar Yadav, learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that as per his instructions, after the initial answer key was published on 14.01.2024 (Annexure P-4), the objections were received and after going through objections, keeping in view the recommendation made by the Expert Committee, the result was declared on 05.02.2024 (Annexure P-6) and the said result has been declared on the basis of revised answer key, as revised on the recommendation of the Expert Committee.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the petitioner has any objection that the revised answer key has not been issued, the petitioner can apply under RTI and the same will be given to him.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the respondents have stated before this Court that the result of the post in question was declared on 05.02.2024 (Annexure P-6) on the basis of the revised answer key, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner to get the said revised answer key under the Right to Information Act and thereafter, the

petitioner has any grievance, the petitioner be given liberty to avail appropriate remedy for the redressal of his grievance.

Ordered accordingly.

April 1st, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No