





admits to the factum of compromise. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during the course of day.

Service is complete.

In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate, to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed i.e. 02.05.2024.

A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate through fax for compliance.”

3. Thereafter vide order dated 02.05.2024, parties were again directed to appear before the jurisdictional Magistrate and get their statements recorded in support of the compromise.

4. In compliance of the aforesaid orders, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone. In compliance of order dated 02.05.2024, cost of Rs. 5,000/- has been deposited in PGIMER Poor Patient Welfare Fund on 06.07.2024 and receipt of the same has been placed on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at the appropriate place.

5. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834 and Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63** and Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (CrL.) 1052**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.139 dated 20.09.2017 under Sections 354-D/506/34 of Indian Penal Code registered at Police Station Gharinda, District Amritsar

(Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioners.

July 08, 2024
Ajay Goswami

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

(i)	Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
(ii)	Whether reportable	Yes/No