

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

108

CRM-13879-2024 in/and
CRR No.623 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 30.04.2024

AMRITPAL SINGH

.....Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present: Mr. R.Kartikeya, Advocate for the applicant-petitioner.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

CRM-13879-2024 in/and
CRR No.623 of 2024 (O&M)

This revision against the impugned order dated 09.01.2023 whereby charges were framed, is accompanied by an application bearing CRM No.13879 of 2024 filed under Section 5 of the Limitation Act, 1963 to condone the delay of 346 days in filing the petition.

The only ground states by learned counsel for the applicant-petitioner to justify the delay is that the applicant-petitioner was in custody.

This Court does not find the reason, as explained by the counsel for the applicant-petitioner, to be justified to condone the huge delay of 346 days, so as to challenge the impugned order of framing charges.

In view of the above, the application bearing CRM No.13879 of 2024 is dismissed. Consequently, the main petition bearing CRR-623-2024 also stands dismissed.

Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

30.04.2024
pry

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No