



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

220

RSA-3079-1996 (O&M)
Date of decision : 04.11.2024

RAM CHAND

... APPELLANT(s)

VERSUS

RAJ KUMAR & ORS.

...RESPONDENT(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ALKA SARIN

Present : None for the appellant.

Respondents No.8, 9, 11, 13, 15, 16, 17 proceeded against
ex parte vide order dated 18.03.1997.

Service of respondents No.17 to 22 dispensed with
vide order dated 18.03.1997.

Mr. Devyansh, Advocate for

Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate for respondent No.23.

ALKA SARIN, J. (ORAL)

1. The present appeal pertains to the year 1996. Vide order dated 01.12.2023, fresh notices were issued to the appellant as well as respondent Nos.1 to 7, 10, 12, 14 and 23. As per the office report the sole appellant as well as respondent Nos.1 to 6 remain unserved as they were not found residing at the given address. There is no report regarding service of the remaining respondents. No other address of the appellant is available except for the one as mentioned in the memo of parties as well as in the judgments of the Courts. In view thereof, this Court is left with no other option but to dismiss the present appeal for non-prosecution.

2. Dismissed for non-prosecution with liberty to revive in case anything survives in the matter. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed off.

04.11.2024
Ankur

(ALKA SARIN)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking/non-speaking: Speaking
Whether reportable: YES/NO