



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

205

CRM-M-15608-2024

Date of Decision : May 16, 2024

PANKAJ

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Ms. Garima Choudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Yuvraj Shandilya, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Deepak K. Bartia, Advocate
for the complainant.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. On the last date of hearing, i.e. 24.4.2024, the following
order was passed:-

“1. Through the instant petition, as instituted under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C., the petitioner has sought the concession of anticipatory bail, in case FIR No.247 dated 08.12.2023, under Sections 307 (added subsequently), 323, 506, 34 of the IPC, registered at P.S. Sadar Jagadhri, District Yamuna Nagar.

2. The learned State counsel has filed reply to the instant petition, on affidavit of Kanwaljeet Singh, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Headquarter, Yamuna Nagar, which is taken on record.

3. The reply (supra) makes revelations that total three injuries were suffered by the complainant/victim, out of which, one injury was declared “dangerous to



CRM-M-15608-2024

-2-

life”, whereas, the other two injuries were declared “simple in nature”. The injury, which was declared “dangerous to life”, has been attributed to petitioner’s co-accused/main accused Mukesh Kumar, who has already been arrested.

4. In view of the above, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and to appear before the investigating agency, as and when called upon to do so. In the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

5. List on 16.05.2024.”

2. Today, the learned State counsel on instructions imparted to him by ASI Parvinder Singh, submits that the petitioner has already joined the investigation and he is fully co-operating with the investigation process and he is not required for any further custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the specific stand taken by the learned State counsel, the present petition is **allowed** and order dated 24.4.2024 is, hereby, made absolute subject to the condition that the petitioner shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

May 16, 2024
ajay-1

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No